

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/784,555	02/23/2004	Paul D. Stevenson	GP-303797 8671		
7590 09/13/2005			EXAMINER		
LESLIE C. HODGES			PANG, ROGER L		
General Motors					
Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 300			3681		
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		( Applicati	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/784,5	10/784,555 STEVENSON, PAUL D		JL D.	
		Examine		Art Unit		
		Roger L. I	oang	3681		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress	
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failur Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INTO THE MAILING THE MAILI	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no ev tion. y period will apply and w y statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	☐ This action is rallowance except	for formal matters, pro		merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) □ 6) ⋈ 7) ⋈ 8) □ Applicati 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are well claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1.2 and 7-12 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 3-6 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Extended on is/are: a)[  Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	and/or election raminer. accepted or b) to the drawing(s) to	equirement.  objected to by the Ended in abeyance. See led if the drawing(s) is objected in abeyance.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF		
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2-23-04</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	-152)	

le

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The following action is in response to application 10/784,555 filed on February 23, 2004.

## Claim Objections

Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 5 (with its dependencies). Claims 8 (with its dependency upon claim 7), 10, 11 and 12 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 1, 3, 4, and 5, respectively. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 8, 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. With regard to claim 8, each third member is claimed to be a "ring gear." This should be replaced with --sun gear--.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2, and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hayabuchi '287. With regard to claims 1 and 7, Hayabuchi teaches a multi-speed transmission. comprising: an input shaft 11; an output shaft 19; a planetary gear arrangement having first, second and third planetary gear sets, each planetary gear set having a ring gear, sun gear and carrier (Fig. 1). said input shaft being continuously interconnected with said carrier C1 of the third planetary gear set, said output shaft being continuously interconnected with said ring gear R3 of the first planetary gear set; said ring gear of the second planetary gear set \$2 being integrally connected with said ring gear of the third planetary gear set R3, and said carrier C2 of the second planetary gear set being continuously connected with said carrier of the first planetary gear set; said second planetary gear set being a compound planetary gear set, and said first and third planetary gear sets being simple planetary gear set (Fig. 1); a first clutch C-1 selectively interconnecting said ring gear of the third planetary gear set with said sun gear of the second planetary gear set S2; a second clutch C-2 selectively interconnecting said ring gear of the third planetary gear set with said sun gear of the first planetary gear set S3; a third clutch C-3 selectively interconnecting said carrier of the third planetary gear set with said carrier of the first planetary gear set; a fourth clutch C-4 selectively interconnecting said carrier of the third

planetary gear set with said sun gear of the first planetary gear set S3; a first brake B-1 selectively interconnecting said sun gear of the second planetary gear set with a transmission housing; a second brake B-2 selectively interconnecting said carrier of the second planetary gear set with said transmission housing; and said first, second, third and fourth clutches, and first and second brakes being engaged in combinations of two to establish at least seven forward speed ratios and a reverse speed ratio between said input shaft and said output shaft (Fig. 2). With regard to claims 2 and 9, Hayabuchi teaches the transmission, wherein said first, second, third, and fourth clutches, and first and second brakes are engaged in combinations of two to establish eight forward speed ratios and a reverse speed ratio between said input shaft and said output shaft (Fig. 2). With regard to claim 8, Hayabuchi teaches the transmission, wherein each said first member comprises a ring gear, each said second member comprises a carrier, and each said third member comprises a sun gear (Fig. 1).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kato, Stevenson, Filanovsky, and Kasuya have been cited to show similar transmissions.

#### FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. The central facsimile number is (571) 273-8300. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence not permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check should not be submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

(Signature)

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and
Trademark Office (Fax No. (571) 273-8300) on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roger L. Pang whose telephone number is 571-272-7096. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30am to 4:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/784,555 Page 7

Art Unit: 3681

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roger L Pang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3681

September 8, 2005